| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 10/566,894 | DUNAJTSCHIK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ALEX ROLLAND | 1712 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>ALEX ROLLAND</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Christa Hildebrand</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 10 February 2011 | Time: <u>2:00 PM</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | | |
| Claims discussed: 29 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: corrected an issue with claim 29 and paragraph 33 of the specification | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the interview, since | ne substance |
| /ALEX ROLLAND/ Examiner, Art Unit 1712 (A | .pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if a | appropriate) |